

REMARKS

By the foregoing claim 1 has been cancelled and claims 2-33 have been added and are now pending. The independent claims are claims 2, 4, 6, 13, 20, and 27.


The Examiner rejected claim 1 based upon a statutory double patenting rejection. Applicants have cancelled claim 1 and added new claims 2-33. Applicants believe that the double patenting rejection is now moot and request that the Examiner consider and allow all of the pending claims.

Conclusion

Applicants believe that all of the stated grounds of objection and rejection set forth by the Examiner in the Office Action have been properly accommodated or addressed. Applicants, therefore, respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider all presently outstanding objections and rejections and withdraw them. The Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned representative if it is felt that an interview might be useful for any reason.

Respectfully submitted,
Steven W. Meeks et al.

Date: 13 July 2004

By: 
John T. McNelis, Reg. No. 37,186
Attorney for Applicants
FENWICK & WEST LLP
801 California Avenue
Mountain View, CA 94041
Tel.: (650) 335-7133
Fax: (650) 938-5200

20830/08288/DOCS/1431573.1